



**MINUTES OF THE
GLENDALE CITY COUNCIL MEETING
Council Chambers
5850 West Glendale Avenue
June 14, 2011
7:00 p.m.**

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Elaine M. Scruggs, with Vice Mayor Steven E. Frate and the following Councilmembers present: Norma S. Alvarez, Joyce V. Clark, Yvonne J. Knaack, H. Philip Lieberman and Manuel D. Martinez.

Also present were Ed Beasley, City Manager; Horatio Skeete, Assistant City Manager; Craig Tindall, City Attorney; and Pamela Hanna, City Clerk.

COMPLIANCE WITH ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6(c) OF THE GLENDALE CHARTER

A statement was filed by the City Clerk that the 7 resolutions and 3 ordinances to be considered at the meeting were available for public examination and the title posted at City Hall more than 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MAY 24, 2011 CITY COUNCIL MEETING

It was moved by Clark, and seconded by Martinez, to dispense with the reading of the minutes of the May 24, 2011 Regular City Council meeting, as each member of the Council had been provided copies in advance, and approve them as written. The motion carried unanimously.

PROCLAMATIONS AND AWARDS

PROCLAMATION IN RECOGNITION OF ADRIENNE ONTIVEROS, WINNER OF THE ED PASTOR CONGRESSIONAL ART COMPETITION

This is a request for City Council to present a proclamation to Glendale resident, Adrienne Ontiveros, who recently won top honors at the Ed Pastor Congressional Art Competition. Ms. Ontiveros will be present to accept the proclamation.

Ms. Ontiveros is a senior at Apollo High School. Her high school art teacher, Barbara Dehlstedt, encouraged her to submit her work titled "Toe 2 Toe" to the Ed Pastor Congressional Art Competition. Ms. Ontiveros took top honors in the contest and her piece will be displayed in the United States Capitol for one year. Ms. Ontiveros and a guest will be traveling to Washington

D.C. to participate in the ribbon-cutting ceremony on June 22, 2011. She also won a \$10,000 scholarship and a free summer workshop at the Art Institute of Phoenix.

The Congressional Art Competition was created in 1982 to showcase the importance of fine arts curriculum in schools, as well as display the talent of students in each congressional district. Since the program's inception, over 650,000 students have entered the competition.

Ms. Adrienne Ontiveros came forward and accepted the proclamation.

CONSENT AGENDA

Items on the consent agenda are of a routine nature or have been previously studied by the City Council at a work session. They are intended to be acted upon in one motion.

Mr. Ed Beasley, City Manager, read agenda item numbers 1 through 8 and Ms. Pamela Hanna, City Clerk, read consent agenda resolution item numbers 9 through 14 by number and title.

Councilmember Lieberman requested item number 3 be heard separately.

1. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 5-1257, ANAYA'S FRESH MEXICAN RESTAURANT

This is a request for City Council to approve a new, non-transferable series 12 (Restaurant) license for Anaya's Fresh Mexican Restaurant located at 9240 North 43rd Avenue. The Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control application (No. 12078706) was submitted by Paul Adolph Allen.

The location of the establishment is 9240 North 43rd Avenue in the Cactus District. The property is zoned C-2 (General Commercial). The population density within a one-mile radius is 22,078. This series 12 is a new license; however, the approval of this license will not increase the number of liquor licenses in the area because this license replaces the previous one held at this location. The current number of liquor licenses within a one-mile radius is as listed below.

Series	Type	Quantity
06	Bar - All Liquor	10
07	Bar - Beer and Wine	2
09	Liquor Store - All Liquor	3
10	Liquor Store - Beer and Wine	7
12	Restaurant	6
	Total	28

The Maricopa County Health Department and the City of Glendale Planning, Police, and Fire Departments have reviewed the application and determined that it meets all technical requirements.

No public protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

Based on information provided under the background, it is staff's recommendation to forward this application to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control with a recommendation of approval.

2. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 5-1333, JUST WILLY'S

This is a request for City Council to approve a person-to-person, location-to-location transferable series 6 (Bar - All Liquor) license for Just Willy's located at 6322 West Bell Road. The Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control application (No. 06070097) was submitted by Marion Hooper.

The location of the establishment is 6322 West Bell Road in the Sahuaro District. The property is zoned C-2 (General Commercial). The population density within a one-mile radius is 12,335. The approval of this license will not increase the number of liquor licenses in the area because this license replaces the previous one held at this location. The current number of liquor licenses within a one-mile radius is as listed below.

Series	Type	Quantity
06	Bar - All Liquor	5
07	Bar - Beer and Wine	3
09	Liquor Store - All Liquor	4
10	Liquor Store - Beer and Wine	4
12	Restaurant	18
	Total	34

The Maricopa County Health Department and the City of Glendale Planning, Police, and Fire Departments have reviewed the application and determined that it meets all technical requirements.

No public protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

Based on information provided under the background, it is staff's recommendation to forward this application to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control with a recommendation of approval.

4. AWARD OF BID FOR A SUPPLEMENTAL DISINFECTION SYSTEM AT ARROWHEAD RANCH WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

This is a request for City Council to award a bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction services agreement with Felix Construction Company in an amount not to exceed \$367,100 to provide construction services for a supplemental disinfection system at the Arrowhead Ranch Water Reclamation Facility (ARWRF).

This project is consistent with Council's goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by continuing to produce first-rate effluent water at the ARWRF.

This project upgrade is being implemented to meet required changes to the Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) issued to the ARWRF. The proposed chlorine disinfection system will work in conjunction with the current ultraviolet disinfection system at the facility to allow continued compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements and APP standards at the plant.

On May 2, 2011, the city received five bids for the supplemental disinfection system project construction. Felix Construction Company was the lowest responsive and qualified bidder.

This project will benefit the community by continuing to provide high-quality effluent water to ensure regulatory compliance.

Funding is available in the FY 2010-11 capital improvement plan. The operating cost associated with this project will be absorbed by the Water Services Department operating budget.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$367,100

<p><u>Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:</u> Arrwhd Wtr Reclam Fac Imps, Account No. 2360-60007-550800, \$367,100</p>
--

The recommendation is to award the bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction services agreement with Felix Construction Company in an amount not to exceed \$367,100 to provide construction services for a supplemental disinfection system at the Arrowhead Ranch Water Reclamation Facility.

5. AWARD OF BID FOR MISSOURI AVENUE WATERLINE EXTENSION

This is a request for City Council to award a bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction agreement with Markham Contracting Co., Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$208,186.50 for the construction of an 8-inch waterline on Missouri Avenue from 45th to Grand Avenues.

The project supports Council’s goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by ensuring the continued delivery of first-rate water to citizens.

This waterline is being installed on Missouri Avenue from 45th to Grand Avenues and will improve water delivery options in the area. Additionally, a 16-inch waterline valve located nearby within the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway will also be installed to improve water circulation in the area. Constructing the waterline and replacing the valve will improve circulation, increase water delivery options for the vicinity, and ensure the continued delivery of high-quality water in the area.

An Invitation to Bid was issued for construction of the project and twelve bids were received. Markham Contracting Co., Inc. submitted the lowest responsive and qualified bid. Construction is scheduled to begin in mid-July and completion is anticipated by mid-September.

On October 27, 2010, a professional services agreement was entered into with Premier Engineering Corporation to provide design services for the project.

This project will benefit the community by ensuring the continued delivery of high-quality water.

Funding is available in the FY 2010-11 capital improvement plan. The operating cost associated with this project will be absorbed by the Water Services Department operating budget.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$208,186.50

<p><u>Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:</u> Water Line Extension, Account No. 2400-61027-550800, \$208,186.50</p>

The recommendation is to award the bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction agreement with Markham Contracting Co., Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$208,186.50 for the construction of the Missouri Avenue Waterline Extension project.

6. AWARD OF BID FOR CHOLLA WATER TREATMENT PLANT ENHANCEMENTS

This is a request for City Council to award a bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction agreement with CS Technologies, LLC in an amount not to exceed \$102,085 for enhancements at the Cholla Water Treatment Plant.

This request addresses Council’s goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by making the necessary enhancements at the Cholla Water Treatment Plant.

The city’s Water Services Department is responsible for providing and protecting water services for citizens in a manner consistent with federal and state regulations. Assessments completed by the Water Services Department provided recommendations for the city’s three water treatment plants. Enhancements to two water plants were completed in June 2008. Cholla is the remaining water treatment plant to be improved.

The enhancements would upgrade the existing buildings at the site and includes installation of fiber cable and conduit, access controls, electrified door hardware, and system integration.

On November 18, 2010, a professional services agreement with CH2M Hill, Inc. for design and construction administration services was approved.

On November 27, 2007, Council approved the professional services agreement with CH2M Hill, Inc. for the design and construction administration services for Phase II and III of the system improvements to the city’s water facilities.

Funds are available in the FY 2010-11 capital improvement program. The operating cost associated with this project will be absorbed by the Water Services Department operating budget.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$102,085

<u>Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:</u> Water System Security, Account No. 2400-61023-550800, \$102,085
--

The recommendation is to award the bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction agreement with CS Technologies, LLC in an amount not to exceed \$102,085 for enhancements at the Cholla Water Treatment Plant.

7. **AWARD OF BID FOR ARROWHEAD RANCH WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY ULTRAVIOLET UPGRADE**

This is a request for City Council to award a bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction agreement with Trojan Technologies in an amount not to exceed \$1,131,357.65 to furnish ultraviolet equipment and startup services for an ultraviolet disinfection system upgrade at the Arrowhead Ranch Water Reclamation Facility (ARWRF).

This item supports Council’s goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by allowing the city to continue to ensure compliance with federal and state water quality regulations.

The disinfection system currently in use at the Arrowhead Ranch Water Reclamation Facility was installed in 1999. In November 2009, the City of Glendale received federal stimulus funding through the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) program managed by the United States Department of Energy for several energy related projects throughout the city. These projects are expected to reduce long-term energy use and costs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and reduce city maintenance and repair costs.

The Water Services Department’s portion of this grant money will be used for the design and procurement of an ultraviolet system upgrade at the ARWRF. This upgrade plays an integral role in the department’s goal of Water System Optimization to reduce electrical and maintenance costs, enhance disinfection system reliability, and ensure regulatory compliance. The project must be completed by November 2012 per EECBG requirements.

On May 3, 2011, the city issued an Invitation to Bid for ultraviolet equipment and two bids were received. Trojan Technologies was determined to be the lowest responsive and qualified bidder for the ultraviolet equipment.

On August 31, 2010, a professional services agreement with Black & Veatch Corporation to provide design and construction administration services for ultraviolet disinfection system upgrades at the ARWRF was approved.

On March 23, 2010, Council adopted a resolution authorizing the City Manager to accept the EECBG funding.

This project will benefit the community by continuing to provide high-quality effluent water to customers in the area.

Funding is available through the EECBG program, and the Water Services Department FY 2010-11 capital improvement plan. Grant funding is available in the amount of \$806,000 with the remaining funds of \$325,357.65 being paid by the Water Services Department.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
X	X		X		\$1,131,357.65

Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:

ARWC Facility UV System Imp, Account No. 1842-37060-518200, \$806,000
 Arrowhead Water Reclamation Facility Improvements, Account No. 2360-60007-551200,
 \$325,357.65

The recommendation is to award the bid and authorize the City Manager to enter into a construction agreement with Trojan Technologies in an amount not to exceed \$1,131,357.65 for furnishing ultraviolet equipment and startup services at the Arrowhead Ranch Water Reclamation Facility.

8. CONTRACT AMENDMENT WITH LIFE, INC. FOR THE TAXI PROGRAM

This is a request for City Council to authorize an annual ongoing increase of \$40,000 through the remaining life of the contract with Life, Inc. for the Taxi Subsidy Program to ensure the continuation of program services.

This request addresses Council's goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by continuing to provide transportation options to residents with special transportation needs.

The Taxi Subsidy Program was developed to provide transportation for eligible Glendale residents requiring special-needs transportation such as repetitive medical treatments for dialysis and chemotherapy. The program provides a 75% subsidy towards the fare of an eligible taxi trip, capped at a maximum trip cost of \$15.00. The participant is responsible for the remaining cost of that trip. A component of the program also provides free transportation for victims of domestic violence.

Since inception in 2005, the program has grown from 875 trips provided in the first year of the program to more than 4,000 trips this fiscal year. The program continues to exceed initial projections. Due to the increase in program utilization, an annual ongoing increase in the contract spending limit is needed to ensure the continuation of program services. The current contract is for one year with an option to extend four additional years in one-year increments.

The program is in the first year of the contract, and the annual spending limit with Life, Inc. will increase from \$85,000 to \$125,000.

On October 12, 2010, Council awarded a contract to Life, Inc. in the amount of \$85,000 annually for the Taxi Subsidy Program.

Recognizing the need for transportation beyond what is provided by Dial-A-Ride and fixed route services, the Taxi Subsidy Program provides a transportation option for Glendale residents who require special-needs transportation. The program provides transportation for repetitive medical therapy treatments as well as transportation for victims of domestic violence.

The current annual contract spending limit is \$85,000. An ongoing \$40,000 increase in the current contract spending limit will increase the spending limit to \$125,000 annually. Funds are available in the GO Transportation Program operating budget.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
			X		\$40,000

Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:
 Fixed Route, Account No. 1660-16540-518200, \$40,000

The recommendation is to authorize an annual ongoing increase of \$40,000 through the remaining life of the contract with Life, Inc. for the Taxi Subsidy Program to ensure the continuation of program services.

CONSENT RESOLUTIONS

9. **INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS WITH THE CITY OF PHOENIX FOR PASS-THROUGH FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION GRANT FUNDS**

This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into five intergovernmental agreements with the City of Phoenix for acceptance of pass-through Federal Transit Administration grant funds for transit services.

This request supports Council’s goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens, by improving and providing transportation options within the city.

The City of Glendale secured \$2,338,947 in federal transit grant funds. The City of Phoenix is the designated agent for all federal funds in this region, and these five intergovernmental agreements with Phoenix will provide reimbursement towards capital and operating expenses, thereby reducing the cost to Glendale for transit services. The five grant awards are described as follows.

Federal grant AZ-90-X103 will be used for capital purchases and on-going maintenance. This grant will provide \$520,506 to fund the replacement of three Dial-A-Ride buses, and transit vehicle maintenance expenses.

Federal grants AZ-57-X012 and AZ-57-X008 are two New Freedom grants that will provide \$342,179 for public transportation services and public transportation alternatives to the elderly and persons with disabilities. Current services and programs that will benefit residents include the Taxi Subsidy Program for persons with recurring medical needs, Glendale Urban Shuttle (GUS 3) transit service, and transportation education travel training programs.

Federal grants AZ-95-X006-01 and AZ-05-0203 are two transit facility grants that provide \$1,476,262 for the pre-design and design work for a centralized transit center in Northwest Glendale. The pre-design phase will establish the scope, concept, and location of the transit center, and the design work will also establish plans for project implementation.

Transportation services and programs provide a benefit to Glendale residents and visitors. These grant funds will provide operating assistance and transit improvements that will promote the continuation of quality and reliable services.

The total amount of federal funds from these five grant awards is \$2,338,947. One grant provides 100% federal funding, two grants require a 20% local match, and two grants require a 50% local match. The total match funds required is \$681,985, and the Regional Public Transportation Authority will provide \$51,403 towards the local match for the purchase of vehicles. The remaining local match of \$630,582 is available in the Transportation Services capital and operating budgets.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
X	X		X		\$630,582

<u>Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:</u>
Traffic Mitigation and Studies, Account No. 1340-16940, \$39,207
Transportation Program Management, Account No. 1660-16510, \$38,338
Transportation Education, Account No. 1660-16520, \$14,970
Transit Management, Account No. 1660-16525, \$7,617
Dial-A-Ride, Account No. 1660-16530, \$85,084
Fixed Route, Account No. 1660-16540, \$227,229
Demand Management, Account No. 1660-16550, \$8,045
Bell/101 Park & Ride/Transit Ctr, Account No. 2210-65080, \$210,092

The recommendation is to waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into five intergovernmental agreements with the City of Phoenix for acceptance of pass-through Federal Transit Administration grant funds for transit services.

Resolution No. 4486 New Series was read by number and title only, it being A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA

COUNTY, ARIZONA, AUTHORIZING THE ENTERING INTO OF FIVE INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS WITH THE CITY OF PHOENIX FOR PASS-THROUGH FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION GRANT FUNDING FOR TRANSIT SERVICES PROJECTS.

10. INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF AVONDALE

This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) with the City of Avondale for solid waste disposal services.

This request addresses Council's goals of one community that is fiscally sound and one community with high-quality services for citizens by continuing to secure additional revenue outlets that support this vital city and community resource.

The City of Glendale has provided solid waste disposal services to Avondale through an intergovernmental agreement since 2002. The city's current IGA with Avondale expires on June 30, 2011.

The new agreement will become effective July 1, 2011, and continue through June 30, 2014. This agreement contains an option that will permit the City Manager, at his discretion, to extend the term for two additional three-year periods, on the terms and conditions acceptable to both Glendale and Avondale.

The current rate Avondale pays for landfill services is \$25.00 per ton. The rate will remain the same for the first year of the agreement, July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. It will be adjusted to \$26.50 per ton for the second year of the agreement, July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, and to \$28.00 for the period July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014. These rates were determined with assistance from a solid waste rate model provided by R.W. Beck, a solid waste management consultant.

On June 26, 2007, Council approved a second amendment to the IGA with Avondale establishing rate adjustments.

On December 27, 2005, Council approved a first amendment to the IGA with Avondale revising the material audits schedule and establishing rate adjustments.

On June 14, 2005, Council approved an IGA with Avondale to provide landfill and MRF processing services.

The refuse received from Avondale will result in sustained revenues and more cost effective solid waste disposal operations for Glendale residents.

Annual gross revenue from landfill tonnage received through this agreement is projected to be \$575,000 for FY 2011-12 and will be deposited into Landfill Revenue Account 2440-02440-480600. This amount is anticipated to increase each year due to growth and rate increases.

The recommendation is to waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into an intergovernmental agreement with the City of Avondale; and authorizing the City Manager, at his discretion, to extend the term for two additional three-year periods.

Resolution No. 4487 New Series was read by number and title only, it being A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ENTERING INTO OF AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR LANDFILL DISPOSAL SERVICES WITH THE CITY OF AVONDALE.

11. MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES OF THE COURT

This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Arizona Supreme Court, Administrative Offices of the Court (AOC).

This item supports Council's goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by improving response to victims of violence through collaborative, multi-disciplinary training which will be hosted with assistance from the AOC.

Grant funding has been awarded and will be used to offer a two-day statewide Crimes Against Women Training Conference. Based on the awarded grant, the AOC and the city have agreed to work collaboratively to develop the training conference to attract participants from a variety of disciplines including law enforcement officers, judges, court personnel, prosecutors, victim advocates, and related community agencies.

The event will be held in late fall of 2011 at the Glendale Civic Center. The AOC will provide in-kind contributions through staff time and equipment use. The AOC will assist the city with the curriculum development, identification of faculty, coordination of the event, support of audio/visual equipment at the event and post-event evaluation support.

On January 25, 2011, Council accepted STOP Violence Against Women grants from the Arizona Governor's Office for Children, Youth, and Families.

The recommendation is to waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Arizona Supreme Court, Administrative Offices of the Court.

Resolution No. 4488 New Series was read by number and title only, it being A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ENTERING INTO OF A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT, ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, FOR THE GLENDALE

POLICE DEPARTMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN RESPONSE TRAINING FOR VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.

12. GLENDALE BOYS & GIRLS CLUB APPLICATION FOR GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY STATE-SHARED REVENUE FUNDING

This is a request for the City Council to adopt a resolution supporting the submission of a grant application for the Glendale Branch of the Boys & Girls Clubs of Metropolitan Phoenix (BGCMP) in the amount of \$10,000 from the Gila River Indian Community State-Shared Revenue Program.

This request supports Council's goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by supporting and partnering with a non-profit agency to better serve Glendale residents.

In 2002, Arizona voters passed Proposition 202 which requires Native American communities that derive revenue from gaming to set aside 12% for a state-shared revenue program for distribution to "cities, towns, or counties for government services that benefit the general public, including public safety, mitigation of the impacts of gaming, or promotion of commerce and economic development." The Gila River Indian Community developed a revenue-sharing program that is also open to non-profits, provided there is support by the local government.

In 2008, BGCMP opened a new branch in Glendale on the campus of Harold Smith School. The facility, called the Swift Kids Branch, offers afterschool and summer programs annually and enrolls more than 900 members aged 6-18. If awarded, the \$10,000 grant will help cover expenses related to the "Academic Success Program," which is offered daily throughout the year at the Swift Kids Branch. Program components include "Power Hour" for homework help, Monday through Thursday, computer and Internet access and skill instruction, and a variety of educational enrichment programs. These types of funding partnerships are supported in the city's Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

On August 31, 2010, Council adopted a resolution of support for city social and human service via the city's "From the Heart" program in the amount of \$225,000.

On August 14, 2007, Council adopted a resolution of support for the submission of a grant application for the Boys & Girls Clubs of Metropolitan Phoenix in the amount of \$70,000 from the Gila River Indian Community State-Shared Revenue Program.

Glendale youth benefit from a variety of programs provided by the city and non-profit agencies. The Swift Kids Branch notes that 90% of youth who participate in the Academic Success Program complete their homework and are better prepared for school. Additionally, 85% have a strong commitment to education and 87% understand the importance of graduating from high school and continuing with higher education.

The recommendation is to waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution supporting the submission of a grant application for the Glendale Branch of the Boys & Girls Clubs of

Metropolitan Phoenix in the amount of \$10,000 from the Gila River Indian Community State-Shared Revenue Program.

Mayor Scruggs asked the speakers asking to address the Council on this item to come forward.

Sherry Marquez, a Cactus resident, state she appreciated that the Gila River Indian Nation had finally given money to the community. She noted the millions of dollars donated to communities and charity organization around the state. She indicated the Tohono O’odham Nation was an excellent community partner and has also contributed money to many west valley organizations. However, the city of Glendale has not received any of these grants directly since they refuse to submit a request. She noted the Tohono O’odham Nation has supported her family’s education with grants. She remarked her son had recently graduated from college with the help of the Tohono O’odham Nation.

Mr. Arthur Thruston, a Cactus resident, thanked the Gila River Indian Reservation for coming forth with such a generous offer of \$10,000 to contribute to the Glendale’s Boys and Girls Club. They will also donate \$75,000 each year for the next three years to the Heart Program in the City of Glendale. He remarked that the Gila River Indian Nation, along with Glendale, was part of the lawsuit against the Tohono O’odham Nation, even though 70% of the citizens approved of the casino. However, the Council refuses to accept invitations from the Tohono O’odham Nation to contribute sums of money to the city. He challenged the Council to accept these gifts.

Resolution No. 4489 New Series was read by number and title only, it being A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, SUPPORTING AND AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF A GRANT APPLICATION FOR THE GLENDALE BRANCH OF THE BOYS & GIRLS CLUBS OF METROPOLITAN PHOENIX IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000 FROM THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY STATE-SHARED REVENUE PROGRAM.

13. FY 2011-12 COUNCIL STRATEGIC GOALS AND KEY OBJECTIVES

This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution approving the FY 2011-12 Council Strategic Goals and Key Objectives used to develop this year’s budget following direction from Mayor and Council.

Council develops a broad, common vision for the future of the City of Glendale and sets goals and objectives to provide staff direction and focus for the immediate future. City services, both on-going and those to be implemented, will reflect Council’s strategic goals and key objectives.

In November 2010, Richard Bowers met individually with Mayor and Council to discuss the strategic goals and objectives that were adopted by Council on June 8, 2010. The annual review and discussions indicated there were no significant changes.

The FY 2010-11 Council Strategic Goals and Key Objectives were adopted at the June 8, 2010 Council meeting.

The seven strategic goals re-emphasized Council's commitments to the citizens of Glendale.

Public awareness of the adopted Council Strategic Goals and Key Objectives document is promoted through the publication on the city's website and in its key financial documents such as the budget book. When Council conducts business at its meetings or is presented with information at workshops, staff provides written information that informs the Council and the public on the relativity of the information to the Council's adopted strategic goals and key objectives.

The recommendation is to waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution approving the FY 2011-12 Council Strategic Goals and Key Objectives of the Glendale City Council.

Resolution No. 4490 New Series was read by number and title only, it being A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, ADOPTING THE STRATEGIC GOALS AND KEY OBJECTIVES OF THE GLENDALE CITY COUNCIL.

14. DECLARATION OF PUBLIC RECORD: 2011 AMENDMENT TO GLENDALE CITY TAX CODE

This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution declaring as a public record the 2011 Amendment to Chapter 21.1 (Model City Privilege (Sales) Tax Code).

Declaring this amendment as a public record supports Council's goal of one community that is fiscally sound by reducing printing and publication costs.

A.R.S. § 9-802 allows a city or town to enact the provisions of code amendments by reference without publishing the full text of the amendments. Instead, the city publishes a notice in the newspaper three times and keeps three copies of the text on file with the City Clerk. In addition, hard copies of the full text are posted at the official sites. This will require adoption by the Council of a resolution. At the same evening meeting, Council will be asked to approve the ordinance adopting the code amendments, which is also published in full.

The recommendation is to waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution declaring as a public record the 2011 Amendment to Chapter 21.1 (Model City Privilege (Sales) Tax Code).

Resolution No. 4491 New Series was read by number and title only, it being A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, DECLARING AS A PUBLIC RECORD THAT CERTAIN DOCUMENT FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE AND ENTITLED "2011 AMENDMENT TO CHAPTER 21.1 (MODEL CITY PRIVILEGE (SALES) TAX CODE)."

It was moved by Frate and seconded by Knaack, to approve the recommended actions on Consent Agenda Item Nos. 1, 2 and 4 through 14, including the approval and adoption of Resolution No. 4486 New Series, Resolution No. 4487 New Series, Resolution No. 4488 New

Series, Resolution No. 4489 New Series, Resolution No. 4490 New Series, and Resolution No. 4491 New Series; and to forward Liquor License Application No. 5-1257 for Anaya's Fresh Mexican Restaurant and No. 5-1333 for Just Willy's to the State of Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control, with the recommendation for approval. The motion carried unanimously.

3. FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATION SERVICES FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE MISSION OF LUKE AIR FORCE BASE

Steven Methvin, Assistant to the Mayor, presented this item.

This is a request for City Council to authorize the City Manager to enter into a one-year sole source professional services agreement with Hyjek & Fix, Inc. for the continuation of federal legislative consultant services for the F-35 Active Duty Training mission of Luke Air Force Base (AFB).

This request supports Council's goal of one community with an active partnership with Luke Air Force Base by ensuring federal and state support throughout the F-35 Environmental Impact Statement process.

In 2006, the West Valley communities of Avondale, Buckeye, El Mirage, Gila Bend, Glendale, Goodyear, Litchfield Park, Peoria, Phoenix, Surprise, Tolleson, Wickenburg, Youngtown and Maricopa County conducted a national search for the hiring of a consulting/legal/public relations team to develop and execute a strategy which would ensure the long-term viability of Luke Air Force Base. As a result of the competitive process, a Washington, D.C. based firm, Hyjek & Fix, Inc., was selected.

A strategic plan was developed by the consulting team and West Valley communities to seek and acquire the designation of Luke AFB as the United States Air Force's F-35 Joint Strike Fighter Active Duty Training site. This designation will secure the future of Luke AFB well into the 21st century. The introduction of the F-35 to Luke AFB is associated with nearly \$150 million in anticipated military construction projects. Additionally, Luke AFB's annual \$2.17 billion economic impact from employment and purchases of commodities or services will remain strong for decades.

Through the collaborative efforts of the West Valley communities, Arizona's Congressional Delegation and Hyjek & Fix, Inc., the Air Force announced in July 2010 that Luke AFB was the Preferred Alternative site for F-35 Active Duty Training.

Luke AFB's designation as the preferred site must be validated through an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process, as mandated by the National Environmental Protection Act. The revised Air Force schedule for the Luke F-35 EIS calls for the release of a Draft EIS in September 2011. The Air Force originally anticipated releasing the Draft EIS in October 2010; however, due to enhanced testing, the process was delayed approximately one year. Public meetings will be hosted in October 2011 that should ultimately lead to a Record of Decision in early 2012.

Hyjek & Fix, Inc. have developed and demonstrated an in-depth knowledge of Luke AFB's capabilities and the most effective ways to favorably impact the Air Force decision-making process. Their understanding of the nuances of the F-35 mission has been critical to Luke AFB being designated as the preferred site for the active duty training. Additionally, Hyjek & Fix, Inc. have played a key role in developing the community and public official support required to secure the West Valley communities ultimate objective of protecting Luke AFB with the F-35 mission.

The consultant's current contract expires in June 2011 as the communities prepare to enter a critical phase of the EIS process.

The loss of Hyjek & Fix, Inc.'s knowledge of the issues surrounding the basing decision and the EIS process would be detrimental to the overall goal of securing the F-35 mission at Luke AFB. Further, Hyjek & Fix, Inc. have secured the trust and confidence of the Arizona Congressional Delegation, state and local officials as well as key Air Force decision-makers. Sustaining the trust and confidence of these individuals at this time is of paramount importance to securing the F-35 mission.

All necessary documentation to request a sole source professional services contract has been submitted to the Materials Management Department and after careful review has been approved.

On November 28, 2006, Council approved a contract with Hyjek & Fix, Inc. for federal legislative representation services for the protection of the mission of Luke AFB. The current contract expires June 30, 2011.

Arizona's military industry represents one of the largest industries in the state and generates more than \$9 billion in economic impact annually to Arizona. Luke AFB is the largest military installation in the state in terms of the number of personnel it employs (more than 7,000), the population it serves (more than 100,000 base personnel, military families and retirees) and its economic impact to the state of Arizona (\$2.17 billion annually).

In addition to protecting our nation's defense and being an economic asset to the state, Luke AFB has a profound impact in our community, as base personnel volunteer more than 100,000 hours annually at local schools, churches, youth sports leagues and non-profit organizations.

The total annual contract cost is \$340,000, of which Glendale pays \$65,155. The balance of the contract is collected from thirteen West Valley community partners. Glendale invoices each of the partners and serves as the administrator of the contract.

Funds are available in the FY 2011-12 operating budget of the Intergovernmental Programs Office.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
			X		\$65,155

Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:

Intergovernmental Programs, Account No. 1000-10910-518200, \$65,155

The recommendation is to authorize the City Manager to enter into a one-year sole source professional services agreement with Hyjek & Fix, Inc., effective July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012, for the continuation of federal legislative consultant services for the F-35 Active Duty Training mission at Luke AFB.

Councilmember Lieberman explained his request to have this item pulled in order to let citizens know what the city was doing in an effort to keep Luke as an established, wonderful addition to the city. He asked what was meant by the term “preferred alternative”. Mr. Steven Methvin, Assistant to the Mayor, stated the Air Force had concluded Luke was their preferred number one alternative after their study of bases that could house the F-35 mission. Councilmember Lieberman thanked staff for all their work on this item.

It was moved by Lieberman, seconded by Clark, to authorize the City Manager to enter into a one-year sole source professional services agreement with Hyjek & Fix. The motion carried unanimously.

ORDINANCES

15. SALT RIVER PROJECT EASEMENT AT SAHUARO RANCH SPORTS COMPLEX

Larry J. Broyles, P.E., City Engineer, presented this item.

This is a request for City Council to adopt an ordinance granting an easement in favor of Salt River Project (SRP) on city-owned property to enable power service to the Sahuaro Ranch Sports Complex irrigation system.

This request supports Council’s goal of one community with high-quality services for citizens by enabling SRP to provide power service to this community amenity.

Renovation of the Sahuaro Ranch Sports Complex was recently completed, including upgrades to the irrigation system which required SRP to design and construct a new conductor and transformer. SRP has requested an easement from the city to protect its facilities.

On February 8, 2011, Council adopted a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into an intergovernmental agreement with Salt River Project in the amount of \$9,600 for the design, construction and installation of new electrical facilities at the Sahuaro Ranch Sports Complex.

The recommendation is to waive reading beyond the title and adopt an ordinance granting an easement in favor of Salt River Project to enable power service to the Sahuaro Ranch Sports Complex irrigation system.

Ordinance No. 2771 New Series was read by number and title only, it being AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A POWER DISTRIBUTION EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF SALT RIVER PROJECT FOR THE MOUNTAIN VIEW WELL PUMP STATION; AND ORDERING THAT A CERTIFIED COPY OF THIS ORDINANCE BE RECORDED.

It was moved by Knaack, and seconded by Martinez to approve Ordinance No. 2771 New Series. Motion carried on a roll call vote, with the following Councilmembers voting “aye”: Alvarez, Clark, Lieberman, Knaack, Martinez, Frate, and Scruggs. Members voting “nay”: none.

PUBLIC HEARING – ORDINANCES

16. AMENDMENT TO GLENDALE CITY TAX CODE (ORDINANCE) (PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED)

Diane Goke, Finance Director, presented this item.

This is a request for City Council to conduct a public hearing and adopt an ordinance amending Chapter 21.1 (Model City Privilege (Sales) Tax Code) that incorporates technical corrections and statutory changes approved and adopted by the Municipal Tax Code Commission through 2010 with an effective date of thirty days after passage of the ordinance by Council.

The adoption of that certain document known as “2011 Amendment to Chapter 21.1 (Model City Privilege (Sales) Tax Code)” is consistent with Council’s goal of one community that is fiscally sound by ensuring that revenue streams are collected pursuant to state law.

Following each legislative session, the League of Arizona Cities and Towns puts together a package of changes to the Model City Tax Code which are forwarded and approved by the Municipal Tax Code Commission. Any approved changes should be adopted to maintain consistency and uniformity among all cities. The recommended changes from 2009 and 2010 fall into one of three general categories – Statutory Exemptions, Successor Liability, and Technical Corrections.

The Council approved several amendments to the Code since its original adoption, including the latest amendment on October 28, 2008.

The Model City Tax Code provides taxpayers a uniform tax code with consistent language that is used throughout the state.

Cities through their Unified Audit Committee, and the League of Arizona Cities and Towns worked with the industry on these changes. Interested business representatives participated in preparing the proposal. The Municipal Tax Code Commission then held public hearings to receive business community input, and then acted to approve the amendments.

A notice of public hearing to be held on June 14, 2011, was published in *The Glendale Star* on May 19, 26, and June 2, 2011. The proposed ordinance was made available for public review at the City Clerk's Office.

There will be no impact to revenue from the statutory and technical changes.

The recommendation is to conduct a public hearing; waive reading beyond the title and adopt an ordinance amending Chapter 21.1 (Model City Privilege (Sales) Tax Code) with an effective date of thirty days after passage of the ordinance by City Council.

Councilmember Clark inquired if the changes on this item revolve around correction to the penalty clause in Chapter 21. Diane Goke, Finance Director, explained that the changes fall into three categories. She noted the penalty clause is only attached to this item and refers to the tax code. Councilmember Clark asked her to explain the three categories. Ms. Goke explained all three were statutory exemptions, the first was exemptions for development impact fees, the second deals with ownership issues and the third was exemptions for charter and school district schools. She added another was a sunset date extension for contractors for solar devices.

Mayor Scruggs opened the public hearing on Agenda Item No. 16.

As there were no comments, Mayor Scruggs closed the public hearing.

Ordinance No. 2772 New Series was read by number and title only, it being AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AMENDING CHAPTER 21.1 (MODEL CITY PRIVILEGE (SALES) TAX CODE) BY ADOPTING A NEW CHAPTER 21.1 THAT INCORPORATES HOUSEKEEPING AND STATUTORY CHANGES APPROVED AND ADOPTED BY THE MUNICIPAL TAX CODE COMMISSION THROUGH 2010; ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS.

It was moved by Martinez, and seconded by Clark, to approve Ordinance No. 2772 New Series. Motion carried on a roll call vote, with the following Councilmembers voting "aye": Alvarez, Clark, Lieberman, Knaack, Martinez, Frate, and Scruggs. Members voting "nay": none.

17. ZONING ORDINANCE TEXT AMENDMENT ZTA09-02: GLENDALE CENTERLINE OVERLAY DISTRICT (PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED)

Jon Froke, AICP, Planning Director, presented this item.

This is a request for City Council to conduct a public hearing and adopt an ordinance amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Glendale, Article 6 (Overlay District Regulations) by adding Section 6.800 (Glendale Centerline Overlay District) (Overlay District) and Article 7 (General Development Standards) by amending Sections 7.103 (Prohibited Signs) and 7.104 (Permitted

Permanent Signs). The proposed changes would add an Overlay District which will provide an alternative set of design standards to encourage development and redevelopment.

The proposed Overlay District is consistent with Council's goal of one community with a vibrant city center. The proposed changes will facilitate private business investment, job creation, and the development of shopping, residential, and recreation opportunities in the Centerline.

The proposed Overlay District is also consistent with Council's goal of one community with quality economic development, as it will provide flexible development options for businesses interested in locating in Centerline.

The Overlay District is voluntary, and will provide an alternative set of development standards to encourage development, redevelopment, and implementation of the Glendale Centerline initiative. Glendale Centerline is a geographic area bounded by 43rd Avenue to the east, 67th Avenue to the west, Myrtle Avenue to the north, and Ocotillo Road to the South.

The Overlay District is a redevelopment tool that provides new and existing businesses greater opportunities while balancing the need to preserve existing single-family neighborhoods, which are not included in the Overlay District. Flexible land uses, greater building heights, additional floor area, and mixed-use development are allowed if the property owner chooses to use the Overlay District.

Council discussed the Overlay District at their workshops on May 17, 2011, October 19, 2010, and December 1, 2009.

On August 5, 2010, the Overlay District was initiated at the Planning Commission workshop and was recommended for approval at the Planning Commission public hearing.

In April 2009, the Overlay District was developed by staff with input from representatives of the following departments: Building Safety, City Attorney, City Manager, Code Compliance, Community Partnerships, Economic Development, Marketing & Communications, and Planning.

The Overlay District will provide opportunities to revitalize and redevelop the city's main corridor and namesake street, Glendale Avenue. The Overlay District will create flexible development standards to encourage redevelopment of vacant and underperforming properties in Centerline.

The existing zoning within the Overlay District will remain in effect, and development can take place either using the standards of the Overlay District, or the standards of the existing zoning district. Property owners will have new zoning options available to them, providing for more flexibility during the development process. These new zoning opportunities will provide a strategic redevelopment tool supporting new investment, resulting in new jobs, increased tax revenues, and improved aesthetics.

On May 27, 2011, notification postcards for the June 14, 2011 City Council public hearing were mailed to 59 property owners on the interested parties list in the Cactus and Ocotillo Districts

and interested parties on the city-wide additional notification list. Additionally, on May 26, 2011, a Notice of Public Hearing was published in *The Glendale Star*.

Staff has made several presentations to introduce the Overlay District to the community with extensive discussions at a variety of Centerline public meetings.

On July 16, 2010, notification postcards for the August 5, 2010 Planning Commission public hearing were mailed to 59 property owners on the interested parties list in the Cactus and Ocotillo districts and interested parties on the city-wide additional notification list. Additionally, on July 15, 2010, a Notice of Public Hearing was published in *The Glendale Star*.

On July 2, 2010, as part of the citizen participation plan, staff, as the applicant, mailed 59 notification letters to property owners listed as interested parties in the Cactus and Ocotillo Districts and all other individuals on the city-wide additional notification list.

On June 24, 2010, staff presented the Overlay District to the Board of the Glendale Chamber of Commerce.

On March 17, 2010, staff presented the Overlay District to the Glendale Centerline Business Alliance Board. The Alliance Board requested that the integrity of the existing Catlin Court Planned Area Development (PAD) be preserved. As a result of this meeting, the Catlin Court and adjacent PADs were removed from the proposed Overlay District.

On January 20, 2010, staff met with representatives from the Glendale Centerline Business Alliance to seek their input with the Overlay District.

On January 14, 2010, and January 28, 2010, staff met with Irwin Pasternak Associates and Butler Design Group Architects who have developed and designed buildings in Glendale.

The recommendation is to conduct a public hearing, waive reading beyond the title, and adopt an ordinance amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Glendale, Article 6 (Overlay District Regulations) by adding Section 6.800 (Glendale Centerline Overlay District) and Article 7 (General Development Standards) by amending Sections 7.103 (Prohibited Signs) and 7.104 (Permitted Permanent Signs).

Councilmember Lieberman thanked Mr. Jon Froke, AICP, Planning Director, for all his work on this item and believes this is a vital part of the Centerline project. Mr. Froke explained this was truly a team effort with a lot of departments becoming involved in this project.

Mayor Scruggs opened the public hearing on Agenda Item No. 17.

As there were no comments, Mayor Scruggs closed the public hearing.

Ordinance No. 2773 New Series was read by number and title only, it being AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF

GLENDALE, ARIZONA, ARTICLE 6 (OVERLAY DISTRICT REGULATIONS) BY ADDING A NEW SECTION 6.800 (GLENDALE CENTERLINE OVERLAY DISTRICT), AND ARTICLE 7 (GENERAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 7.103 (PROHIBITED SIGNS) AND 7.104 (PERMITTED PERMANENT SIGNS); AND SETTING FORTH AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

It was moved by Frate, and seconded by Martinez to approve Ordinance No. 2773 New Series. Motion carried on a roll call vote, with the following Councilmembers voting “aye”: Alvarez, Clark, Lieberman, Knaack, Martinez, Frate, and Scruggs. Members voting “nay”: none.

PUBLIC HEARING

18. FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 PROPERTY TAX LEVY (PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED)

Don Bolton, Assistant Budget Director, presented this item.

This is a request for City Council to conduct a public hearing on the proposed FY 2011-12 property tax levy. The primary property tax rate will remain unchanged at \$0.2252 per \$100 of assessed valuation for FY 2011-12. The secondary property tax rate will remain unchanged at \$1.3699 per \$100 of assessed valuation for FY 2011-12. The total property tax rate will remain unchanged at \$1.5951.

The setting of the property tax rate for FY 2011-12 is consistent with the Council’s goal of one community that is fiscally sound as property tax revenue funds a portion of the city’s operating and capital budget.

Arizona state law requires Council to set the property tax levy by the third Monday in August.

Arizona’s property tax system consists of two tiers. The primary property tax levy has state-mandated maximum limits; however, it can be used by a city for any purpose. The primary property tax revenue is included in the General Fund’s operating budget. The secondary property tax levy is not limited; however, it can be used only to retire the principal and interest on a municipality’s bonds. The secondary property tax revenue funds the city’s capital improvement plan.

All Truth in Taxation requirements of A.R.S. 42-17107 have been met. A Truth in Taxation hearing is not required. The public notice requirements of A.R.S. 42-17103 also have been met.

The FY 2011-12 preliminary operating, capital, debt service, and contingency appropriation budget was presented to Council on May 24, 2011. Council adopted a resolution accepting it and gave notice of public hearings for the final budget and property tax levy for June 14, 2011 as well as for the adoption of the property tax levy on June 28, 2011.

On March 29, 2011, the second and final budget workshop on the recommended FY 2011-12 operating budget occurred.

On March 22, 2011, the first budget workshop on the recommended FY 2011-12 operating budget occurred.

Council was provided the FY 2011-12 budget workbook during the week of March 14, 2011.

On March 1, 2011, Council reviewed an update on the FY 2010-11 General Fund (GF) operating budget through the first seven months.

On January 18, 2011, Council reviewed an update about the economy and the FY 2010-11 GF operating budget through the first five months. This update also confirmed the strategy for balancing the GF operating budget for FY 2011-12 and beyond, given that economic recovery is expected to occur gradually over time.

Glendale's budget is an important financial, planning and public communication tool. It gives residents and businesses a clear and concrete view of the city's direction for public services, operations and capital facilities and equipment. It also provides the community with a better understanding of the city's ongoing needs for stable revenue sources to fund public services, ongoing operations and capital facilities and equipment. The budget provides Council, residents and businesses with a means to evaluate the city's financial stability.

All budget workshops were open to the public and were posted publicly per state requirements. The Council budget workbook materials were posted publicly along with each of the workshop's meeting agenda.

Public notices were printed in the May 26 and June 2, 2011 issues of *The Glendale Star* regarding the date, time and location for the public hearings regarding the FY 2011-12 final budget and the FY 2011-12 property tax levy as well as the date for the adoption of the property tax levy.

It is estimated that the FY 2011-12 primary property tax rate will generate approximately \$2.9 million and the FY 2011-12 secondary property tax rate will generate approximately \$18 million for a total of approximately \$20.9 million.

The recommendation is to conduct a public hearing on the FY 2011-12 property tax levy including the primary property at \$0.2252 per \$100 of assessed valuation and the secondary property tax rate at \$1.3699 per \$100 of assessed valuation. The total property tax rate will remain unchanged at \$1.5951, the same rate in effect during FY 2010-11. Adoption of the FY 2011-12 property tax levy is scheduled for the June 28, 2011, City Council meeting.

Mayor Scruggs opened the public hearing on Agenda Item No. 18.

As there were no comments, Mayor Scruggs closed the public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING - RESOLUTIONS

19. FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 FINAL BUDGET ADOPTION (RESOLUTION) (PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED)

Don Bolton, Assistant Budget Director, presented this item.

This is a request for the City Council to review the proposed FY 2011-12 final budget, conduct a public hearing on the final budget and convene a special meeting to adopt a resolution formally approving the final operating, capital, debt service, and contingency appropriation budget.

The FY 2011-12 budget is based on Council's continued vision of one community, and the supporting strategic goals. Council's goals continue to serve as the foundation for the development of the city's annual budget regardless of whether the economy is growing or contracting.

Arizona state law requires the governing board of cities, towns and counties to conduct a public hearing and then convene a special meeting to adopt a resolution approving the final annual budget. The regular evening meeting does not need to be adjourned to convene and conduct the special meeting required for the budget adoption.

Council approval of the budget will set the maximum level of expenditures for FY 2011-12. Adjustments and reallocation of appropriation authority may be made after adoption of the budget, but the total amount of appropriation cannot be increased.

While the current economic environment has presented its share of challenges for local and state government, the City of Glendale continues to look towards the future with optimism, flexibility and resilience. Vision, innovation, partnerships, and dedicated employees continue to play a central role in making the city's future efforts rewarding and successful despite the difficult economy.

This outlook is a result of Council's continued focus on enhancing long-term fiscal strength and sustainability for the community. This outcome is being accomplished through quality economic development and the continuation of strategic investments that build upon those made over the last several years. This outlook also is the result of the strategic management of constrained city resources during the recession that hit Arizona particularly hard.

The budget balancing strategy that was presented to Council as part of the FY 2010-11 operating budget remains in place. It is important that the city continue with this strategy until there is sustained growth in retail sales, income taxes and other critical revenue sources because ongoing revenues have not recovered sufficiently to fully support the city's ongoing operating expenses. While this budget strategy is designed to adapt operations to constrained resources, it also positions the city to be ready for the time when the economy is fully recovered.

This budget strategy is marked by a strategic, business-based and phased approach. This budget strategy also sustains core city services as defined by Council's strategic goals. These core services are health and safety related such as emergency response services provided by the Police and Fire Departments. This strategy also ensures the smooth operation of the overall

organization. Finally, to the extent possible, this strategy minimizes the impact to other services provided to the community in recognition of the fact that a municipality is fundamentally a service organization.

A critical element of the city's budget strategy that deserves recognition is the city's Innovate Initiative. This initiative is directly tied to the budget process and the city's strategic business model. Employees have been, and continue to be, actively engaged in making business-based recommendations for adjustments that assist with balancing the budget.

The FY 2011-12 preliminary operating, capital, debt service, and contingency appropriation budget was presented to Council on May 24, 2011. Council adopted a resolution accepting it and gave notice of public hearings for the final budget and property tax levy for June 14, 2011 as well as for the adoption of the property tax levy on June 28, 2011.

Council budget workshops were conducted on March 22 and March 29, 2011 to review the proposed FY 2011-12 budget for revenues and expenditures.

Council was provided the FY 2011-12 budget workbook during the week of March 14, 2011.

On March 1, 2011, Council reviewed an update on the FY 2010-11 General Fund (GF) operating budget through the first seven months.

On January 18, 2011, Council reviewed an update about the economy and the FY 2010-11 GF operating budget through the first five months. This update also confirmed the strategy for balancing the GF operating budget for FY 2011-12 and beyond, given that economic recovery is expected to occur gradually over time.

Glendale's budget is an important financial, planning and public communication tool. It gives residents and businesses a clear and concrete view of the city's direction for public services, operations and capital facilities and equipment. It also provides the community with a better understanding of the city's ongoing needs for stable revenue sources to fund public services, ongoing operations and capital facilities and equipment.

The budget provides Council, residents and businesses with a means to evaluate the city's financial stability.

All budget workshops were open to the public and were posted publicly per state requirements. The Council budget workbook materials were posted publicly along with each of the workshop's meeting agenda.

Public notices were printed in the May 26 and June 2, 2011 issues of *The Glendale Star* regarding the date, time and location for the public hearings regarding the FY 2011-12 final budget and the FY 2011-12 property tax levy as well as the date for the adoption of the property tax levy.

The annual budget (all funds) for the city is divided into four major components that include all appropriations. The total budget, including all four components, is \$638 million for FY 2011-12. The four components and their respective total amounts for FY 2011-12 are as follows:

- The *operating budget* finances the day-to-day provision of city services and totals \$362.1 million.
- The *capital improvement budget* funds the construction and repair of city assets including roads, public amenities and other infrastructure throughout the city. The capital improvement budget totals \$144.2 million.
- The *debt service budget* is used to repay money borrowed by the city, primarily for capital improvements, and amounts to \$85.1 million.
- The final component of the budget is the *contingency appropriation*, which is made up of fund reserves and is available to cover emergency expenses or revenue shortages should they arise during the fiscal year. The contingency appropriation for this fiscal year totals \$46.6 million.

The total budget of \$638 million represents a decrease of 5.6% from the FY 2010-11 total budget of \$676 million. The decrease is the result of capital budget reductions that were implemented to address reduced revenue sources due to the economy.

The recommendation is to conduct a public hearing on the proposed final budget and then convene in a special meeting, waive reading beyond the title, and adopt a resolution approving the FY 2011-12 final budget.

Mayor Scruggs opened the public hearing on Agenda Item No. 19.

As there were no comments, Mayor Scruggs closed the public hearing.

SPECIAL BUDGET MEETING (TO ADOPT FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 FINAL BUDGET)

Mayor Scruggs convened the Special Budget Meeting.

Resolution No. 4492 New Series was read by number and title only, it being A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, ADOPTING THE ESTIMATES OF THE AMOUNTS REQUIRED FOR THE PUBLIC EXPENSE FOR THE CITY OF GLENDALE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2011-12; ADOPTING A FINAL BUDGET; AND SETTING FORTH THE REVENUE AND THE AMOUNT TO BE RAISED BY DIRECT PROPERTY TAXATION FOR THE VARIOUS PURPOSES.

Mayor Scruggs called for comments from the Council on this item

Councilmember Alvarez stated she still does not support the budget that included reductions to community services and furloughs even if the furloughs were cut in half. She still has reservations on this budget and could not support it.

It was moved by Clark, and seconded by Lieberman, to pass, adopt and approve Resolution No. 4492 New Series. The motion carried with Alvarez voting Nay.

Mayor Scruggs adjourned the Special Budget Meeting and reconvened the Regular City Council Meeting.

NEW BUSINESS

20. COUNCIL SELECTION OF VICE MAYOR

In accordance with the Charter and pursuant to City Council Guidelines, Council will designate among its members a vice mayor.

The Glendale City Charter provides for the composition of the Council. The Charter states:

Art. II, Sec. 7. Vice Mayor.

The council shall designate one (1) of its members as vice mayor, who shall serve in such capacity at the pleasure of the council. The vice mayor shall perform the duties of the mayor during the mayor's absence or disability.

Council adopted the City Council Guidelines at the May 26, 2009 Council meeting. As stated in the City Council Guidelines, at the first workshop of June each year the Council will consider the appointment of a vice mayor for the following fiscal year. Nominations were indicated by Councilmembers at the June 7, 2011 workshop.

The Mayor will accept a motion or motions, call for a second, and conduct a vote of the Council that shall, by virtue of assent of a majority, designate one of its members as vice mayor.

Councilmember Knaack moved to select Steven Frate as Vice Mayor for FY 2011-2012. Councilmember Martinez second, the motion.

Councilmember Alvarez moved to select Phil Lieberman as Vice Mayor for FY 2011-2012. Councilmember Clark second, the motion.

Mr. Craig Tindall, City Attorney, asked for a discussion on the first motion.

Mayor Scruggs called for comments from the public who wished to speak on this item.

Ms. Robin Berryhill, an Ocotillo resident, asked the Council to consider Councilmember Lieberman as Vice Mayor. She stated he was proud of his county and had served it well. He has

also been a very successful businessman in Glendale for many years and has served his Cactus District extremely well. She believes the office of Vice Mayor should be rotated among all Councilmembers. She noted each Councilmember should have the opportunity to serve in this capacity in order to gain additional experience. She stated Councilmember Lieberman deserves to serve Glendale as Vice Mayor and urges the Council to elect him to this office.

Mr. Cameron Berryhill, an Ocotillo resident, expressed his support for Councilmember Lieberman to be considered as Vice Mayor. He explained Councilmember Lieberman has always been there to answer questions and has supported Glendale and its community faithfully for many years.

Mayor Scruggs asked for discussion on the first motion to consider Steven Frate as Vice Mayor.

Councilmember Clark explained her only point of concern was she continues to support the concept of seniority. She believes the majority of the Council has chosen to ignore that principal. She noted it was important for continuity on a Council and provides an opportunity for every Councilmember to serve in this capacity. She stated that after all, Mayor was a ceremonial office, as stated in the Charter; therefore, the Vice Mayor's job to replace the Mayor when the Mayor was absent was also ceremonial. She noted that whether or not they support the Mayor's objectives for that year, there was nothing wrong with healthy dissent which was just as important as healthy agreement. Councilmember Clark stated that she would not vote for Councilmember Frate's appointment.

Vice Mayor Frate explained there was a lot of work that goes along with being Vice Mayor and it was not always ceremonial. He believes the Mayor's job was also not always ceremonial since often times it was looked upon for emergencies in the city. He remarked that the office of Vice Mayor was not easy and very time consuming. He added it was also hard if you are not healthy. He noted that health, age and the ability to do the job and stay awake during the meetings was very important. He thanked his colleagues for their recommendation. He was proud of what he has done and how he was able to represent the city at his highest level. He thanked his colleagues for nominating him.

Councilmember Knaack stated she knows for a fact the offices of Mayor and Vice Mayor are hardly ceremonial. She often tells people the Mayor works 28 hours a day, not only representing their city of 226,000 people, but has also representing the city on about every board in the region, many of which she started. As far as the Vice Mayor's office, she has watched over the years how much the Vice Mayor has to take over since the Mayor was so busy and cannot be in two places at once. She believes the best person for the job should be selected and does not believe seniority should be the determining factor.

Councilmember Alvarez remarked that in the Charter, it states the Mayor's office was ceremonial and the Mayor was not to be involved with administrative decisions. She believes Councilmember Lieberman was very competent with a lot of knowledge and was in good health to do the job of Vice Mayor. She noted the Council should let go of personal observations, especially someone mentioning him staying awake at meetings. She added even though it might seem Councilmember Lieberman was relaxing, he was always alert and making the right

decisions. She believes that we need to appoint the best person and that Councilmember Lieberman deserves this position.

Councilmember Lieberman stated he was not going to comment today; however, would like to respond to some of the comments made by Councilmember Knaack and Vice Mayor Frate. He stated his health was fine with a blood pressure of 110/60 and believes Vice Mayor Frate could not match that. He listed his accomplishments then stated to answer Councilmember Knaack's comment, he served on many committees some which he started. He believes he has started more committees than Vice Mayor Frate.

Councilmember Martinez stated that he had seconded Steven Frate as Vice Mayor. He stated Vice Mayor Frate has done an exemplary job in the year he has held this office. He does not believe in the seniority selection since he does not believe that seniority should buy you the seat to this office. He indicated it should be based on what the body as a whole decides and who would do the best job as Vice Mayor. He stated Vice Mayor Frate was the person for this job since he has seen him on many occasions where he has represented the city very well.

Councilmember Knaack asked to correct Councilmember Lieberman's assumption that she said Vice Mayor Frate started and was a part of many committees. She stated she was speaking about Mayor Scruggs when she made those comments.

Councilmember Alvarez noted age should not be a determining factor since Councilmember Martinez's age was not an issue and he had done an excellent job as Vice Mayor. She stated they need to stay away from talking about health and age because regardless of their age, people can still do an excellent job.

Councilmember Clark stated that both Martinez and Frate have done an excellent job as Vice Mayor. Therefore, it almost proves a point that people who are appointed to Vice Mayor have been able to rise to the occasion. She believes each one on the Council was capable of rising to the occasion to serve in that capacity. She believes it was time they give others a chance to prove they too can perform in an exemplary manner.

Councilmember Lieberman stated this was his 422 Council meeting and for anyone worrying about his health, he was aware of only missing 5 meetings in the last 20 years.

Mayor Scruggs thanked those who addressed the issue that being a Mayor was a ceremonial job. She explained that was possibly true when the Charter was written in the 1950's; however, it was not true now and it was unfortunate that language continues. She explained if the office of Mayor was simply a ceremonial job, they would be the only city in Maricopa County that did not have a Mayor present on the boards of directors of the various regional and statewide organizations. Glendale would have been the only city without a Mayor present this morning when the issue was raised that Grand Avenue was not going to be turned back to the Arizona Department of Transportation and the west valley would not be robbed of another transportation corridor. She noted it was not ceremonial when she personally met with the Executive Director of the Arizona Tax and Research Association on Senate Bill 1220. This bill would have taken away the Model City Tax Code and taken tens of millions of dollars from the city. The city

would have been forced to only tax according to what the state of Arizona taxes. However, because of her relationship with the director, she was able to forge a suitable agreement for all. The Mayor's office was also not ceremonial when she met with the Senate Minority Leader and asked him to remove Senate Bill 1221, which would have brought even further destruction to city revenues. These are all issues that are not in any way ceremonial actions.

Therefore, while the Charter was written in the language of 1957, it was not how modern day cities and Mayors operate. Additionally, the office of Vice Mayor was often not ceremonial either since the Vice Mayor often takes the Mayor's place on standing committees that meet on a regular basis that conflict with Mayor duties. She added it was very important to note this was not about who gets along with the Mayor, but about following their Council Code of Ethics and Conduct. The code states that when the City of Glendale has taken a formal position and they are in a public setting, they support the city's formal position, even though they might feel differently about a matter. She explained even if they do not like the Mayor, the Mayor does not make the vote; the seven of them make the vote. She expressed her appreciation for the work Vice Mayor Frate has accomplished in the last year and stated her support and confidence for him to continue for another year as Vice Mayor.

Councilmember Clark agreed with Mayor Scruggs' comments on the committee seats she holds as well as the great work she has done in meetings with state officials. She explained the Mayor was the spokesperson for the full Council on positions that have been adopted by full Council. However, she can only refer to the city Charter and hopes the Charter descriptions for Mayor, Vice Mayor and Council were someday revised to fully reflect their duties.

Councilmember Alvarez stated she recently brought up revising the language in the Charter since it was old and did not reflect current times; however, was told there was no need. She restated her support to select Councilmember Lieberman for the office of Vice Mayor. She believes Councilmember Lieberman can do the job as well or better than Vice Mayor Frate.

It was moved by Knaack, and seconded by Martinez, to appoint Steven E. Frate as Vice Mayor for FY 2010-2011. The motion passed with Councilmembers Alvarez, Clark and Lieberman voting Nay.

REQUEST FOR FUTURE WORKSHOP AND EXECUTIVE SESSION

It was moved by Frate, and seconded by Knaack, to hold a City Council Workshop at 1:30 p.m. in Room B-3 of the City Council Chambers on Tuesday, June 21, 2011, to be followed by an Executive Session pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03. The motion carried unanimously.

CITIZEN COMMENTS

Sherry Marquez, a Cactus resident, stated she was a member of the Tohono O'odham Nation and was disappointed in the amount of hurtful misinformation that has been said by some Councilmembers regarding the Nation. She explained the Nation has worked hard and met with many local communities to extend their partnerships, except for Glendale since it has been their choice not to partner with them. She noted there was no doubt this project will create thousands of jobs and be a major economic development for Glendale and the entire west valley. She remarked that despite effort to attack this project, there was overwhelming support from the community. She suggests the city work to find a common ground to work and partner with the Nation on this issue. Additionally, she disapproves of city money being spent to support two lobbyists.

Rosanne Langer, a Cactus resident, stated her concerns with the future of children in the Glendale school district. She stated the teachers in her district were very concerned since budget cuts in the district have affected various programs, as well as requiring larger class sizes. The City of Glendale has also cut important services to their students such as library hours, swim lessons, and summer sports. Therefore, due to this funding crisis that has become a detriment to their youth; the teachers in the Glendale Education Association support the resort and casino in Glendale. This resort will bring jobs into the community and help the school district's funding since funding was based on enrollment. She explained enrollment has declined by 1,200 students in the last three years. The added jobs will help ensure families stay in Glendale and not move out of state or to another city. She noted that by law, tribes are required to pay part of their profits into the instructional improvement fund also known as the Indian Gaming Fund. Additionally, the reservations are well known for giving large amounts of donations to school districts within their area, which will help supplement many of the programs that they have already lost. She suggested the idea of creating a toll road in front of the casino and therefore the city can reap that benefit.

Ken Jones, an Ocotillo resident, suggested if the city wanted to bid on the Super Bowl, they should have other valley cities help with the cost. He referred to studies which showed Glendale lost money when they had the Super Bowl. Therefore, since many other cities benefit, they should also share the cost.

Arthur Thruston, a Cactus resident, stated if the Charter was correct and all their positions were just ceremonial, he has been blaming them unjustly for all that was currently happening in Glendale and the bad decisions he believes are being made. He cited the issues with the Coyote deal, casino and paying for two lobbyists. He apologized for holding the Council responsible when it was city management he should have been blaming for all the bad decisions and failures.

Robin Berryhill, an Ocotillo resident, thanked the Council and city for their attention to her request and the information being provided to her regarding the hockey arena deal. She questioned how Vice Mayor Frate became Vice Mayor when he did not have any qualifications to serve as Vice Mayor. Additionally, they do not have a pick-and-choose Charter; they have a Glendale Charter that is still in effect until they update or change it. She also wanted to speak on her reaction to last week's Council workshop. She noted as she watched the workshop, she was amazed at the interaction of the Council. She stated with the exception of Councilmember Alvarez, all, including the Mayor has served on the Council for more than 10 years. She noted

that when Councilmember Alvarez asked to seek information, some offered condescending remarks, others ignored her and a few tried to help her. Additionally, there always seemed to be a crew of Councilmembers who were in lockstep in defending the Mayor at every turn. She noted there had to be respect on the Council and possibly an introduction seminar for new Councilmembers on current issues and policy. They should not have the mentality of “my way or the highway”. She suggested term limits as a solution since everyone tends to get set in their ways over a period of time.

Francine Romesburg, a Barrel resident, thanked everyone for their common sense comments and for coming forth and speaking tonight. She especially commended Councilmember Clark for taking a stand on the seniority and Charter issue. She asked Councilmember Alvarez not to apologize for her stands on issues because most of her comments made sense. She expressed her support for the casino which will help the communities in Glendale with new jobs and funding for school districts.

Cameron Berryhill, an Ocotillo resident, expressed his disappointment in Councilmember Lieberman not being voted Vice Mayor. He believes Councilmember Lieberman has supported and made great contributions to the City of Glendale and deserved the nomination.

COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

Councilmember Alvarez commented on the great job the fire department does in Glendale. She was proud they were able to go help fight the fire up North. She believes Glendale has the best fire department in the state. She mentioned the great programming on Channel 11 and the amazing job city employees were doing educating the public on recycling and keeping the planet clean. She stated she was truly impressed. She recognized several city employees publicly.

Councilmember Clark agreed with Councilmember Alvarez on having the best fire department as well as the best employees in the state. She asked to make a clarification on what the Charter actually states. She explained the Council was, by Charter, the policy making body of the city. She commended all those people who come and speak on behalf of the Tohono O’odham Nation regularly. However, she still does not support the Tohono O’odham Nation and their placement of a reservation in Glendale, for all the reasons she has mentioned over and over. She does not believe 70% of the public supports the casino since the study was commissioned by the Tohono O’odham Nation. She stated the majority of the residents in her district do not support the casino.

Councilmember Lieberman asked everyone in the audience to stand and offer a moment of silence to all branches of the military for their service. He asked them to pray for those in actual combat protecting the rights of the United States. He discussed the issue of the Tohono O’odham Nation and the two proposals they have made the city regarding the grant process. He thanked the Nations for all they have donated for Arizona cities, much in health related issues. He listed the many charities to which the Nations have contributed, totaling \$1.7 million. He believes the city would receive a lot more if they would simply fill out the request for service and return it to the Nation. He disagreed with Councilmember Clark’s comment regarding the 70% number not being accurate. He noted that in his district, many people support the casino and

believe it was greatly needed to supplement city losses. He remarked on the comments made by Mayor Scruggs regarding Councilmembers not always agreeing with what the city was doing. He explained he had been elected five times and believes they did not need a Council that agreed 100% of the time and that was why they had seven people on the Council. He stated that even though he will not be Vice Mayor, he will still push hard for the City of Glendale. He thanked everyone for being here tonight.

Vice Mayor Frate commented on Mr. Cameron Berryhill attending meetings when he was very young and how much he has grown. He also commented on the Wallow Fire and how it has become the largest in Arizona history. He thanked all the fire fighters who were fighting the fire. He noted ASU West will be holding a groundbreaking ceremony tomorrow morning to commemorate the expansion of a new residential and dining facility. He reminded everyone to watch children around water.

Councilmember Martinez stated he agreed with Councilmember Clark in opposing the casino. He stated there were many reasons for his opposition and urged the public to visit the city's website and become informed on this matter. In regards to Councilmember Lieberman's appointment to Vice Mayor, Councilmember Lieberman demonstrated tonight one of the reasons why he would not support him for Vice Mayor. He indicated that Councilmember Lieberman had written a memo to the Mayor, Council and City Manager requesting a moment of silence at the meeting. He explained that when he first read the memo, he thought there might be a certain procedure that should be followed; therefore, it was a good idea to look into it. His point being, that Councilmember Lieberman did not wait for any type of procedure and does what he wants without discussing it with anyone or asking if there was a certain protocol they should follow. He was concerned if he became Vice Mayor, Councilmember Lieberman would do and say whatever he wanted and would not adhere to any protocol or code. Therefore, he does not support Councilmember Lieberman for Vice Mayor.

Councilmember Knaack explained their job was not ceremonial and demonstrated the packet they had to go through, which took hours and hours to read and study. She stated the city had not lost money in the Super Bowl since that money was put forth as an investment for the city. She was very pleased the Centerline overlay has been approved and they are ready to move forward. She believes this was a very progressive economic development tool for the city and was delighted it was progressing nicely.

Councilmember Alvarez interjected stating that according to the rules of the meeting, Council cannot discuss matters that are not on the agenda which require 30 days for a response. Therefore, she believes, they might be breaking the rules.

Mayor Scruggs asked the City Attorney if she was breaking the law by expressing her position on the development of the 134 acres. Mr. Tindall explained this was the comment portion of the meeting and was open to comments. He added there were limitations to this portion; however, the comments made so far have been permissible.

Mayor Scruggs stated her comments have not been actionable items and her attempt was to only help the public understand the issue of how commercial developments will help fund schools.

Mayor Scruggs asked to clarify Item 12. The Boys and Girls Club is making an application for a grant of \$10,000 from the Gila River Indian Community. All grant requests must be sponsored by a local government. The Resolution is in support of the submission of a grant application for the Glendale Branch of the Boys and Girls Clubs of Metropolitan Phoenix. (Please see Page 12, Item 12 for a full description of the request.) The Club asked the city for their sponsorship since the money will be used in the Swift Club Branch in Glendale. Mayor Scruggs wanted to clear up that the Gila Indian Reservation was not being “cheap” as some have characterized for only giving \$10,000. The \$10,000 amount was established by the Boys and Girls Club as all they would need for this particular program.

In addition, Mayor Scruggs explained the process and the reasons why the Gila Indian Reservation was giving the city \$75,000 a year for three years, which was part of a Resolution previously approved by the Council.

Mayor Scruggs offered her view of the situation with the casino. She stated the city would very much like the Nation to develop the 134 acres they own; however, the problems come because of the casino, which is against the state’s gaming compact and in violation of the agreement the Tohono O’odham Nation made in writing to every other Nation. She agreed with everyone stating it would be great to have a resort and other developments; however, the Nation wants to add a casino on the property. A casino requires that the land be converted into an Indian Reservation. No sales taxes are derived by the State, County, or City for business conducted on an Indian Reservation. She explained the issue of schools lacking funding and possible solutions in funding if commercial development occurs on that piece of land not as an Indian Reservation but rather the same way all other lands are developed.

She explained she and Chairman Norris have discussed this issue. She had communicated to him that this area should be developed commercially; however, if it is developed separately, it was removed from the state of Arizona and all revenue goes away. She hopes Chairman Norris decides to develop that land with everything except the casino and therefore be contributing to the welfare of the schools, the City of Glendale and the state of Arizona. She confirmed all her comments tonight have been legal.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

Pamela Hanna

Pamela Hanna - City Clerk